

REMARKS

Claims 7-32 are currently pending in the above-referenced patent application.

Claims 1-6 are cancelled. Claims 22-32 are newly added. **In the non-final Office Action dated September 8, 2004:** Claims 7-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harrison et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,081,895).

In reply to the rejection of claims 7-21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harrison et al., reconsideration and withdrawal is respectfully requested. 35 U.S.C. 102(b) states that "...the invention was patented ...more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States..." Since the present application was filed less than one year after Harrison et al. issued as a patent, Harrison et al. does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Harrison et al. issued as a patent on June 27, 2000 and the present application was filed on November 3, 2000. At least for this reason, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have not been satisfied.

As stated, Harrison et al. does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Additionally, all of the recitations of claims 7-21 are not disclosed in Harrison et al. For example, unlike the recitations of claims 7-10, there is no disclosure in Harrison et al. of "...at least one data stream interceptor..." Similar deficiencies of Harrison et al. exist as applied to claims 11-21.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Daniel H. Sherr** or **Natu Patel**, at the telephone number listed below, if any issues arise.

Respectfully submitted,
THE PATEL LAW FIRM, P.C.



Daniel H. Sherr
Registration No. 46,425

2532 Dupont Drive
Irvine, CA 92612
(949) 955-1077
Date: March 8, 2005